

Potential for Phytotoxicity of Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC
(s-Metalochlor) on
Dwarf New Zealand Flax (*Phormium colinsoi*)

By

Heiner Lieth, Director
Linda Dodge
Ron Lane
Dylan Hodgkiss

Project: Interregional Research Project #4
Project Number 24758A – September 30, 2005

Donors/Supporters:
Suncrest Nursery, Watsonville CA

UC Davis Environmental Horticulture IR4 Center
Department of Plant Sciences
University of California
One Shields Ave.
Davis, CA 95616
<http://envhort.ucdavis.edu/ir4>

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

Investigator (Name, Address, Phone#, e-mail, etc)	Dr. Heiner Lieth Department of Plant Sciences University of California One Shields Ave. Davis, CA 95616 Ph 530-752-7198 FAX 530-752-1819 Email: jhlieth@ucdavis.edu
Location of Trial	University of California, Davis CA
TRIAL TYPE: (field, container, greenhouse, etc)	Field Container
Chemical - Common Name	s-Metalochlor
- Formulation	7.62% EC
- Batch Number	
- Product	Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC
- EPA Registration Number	100-950
- Manufacture	Syngenta
USE INFORMATION	
- Plant Common Name	Dwarf New Zealand Flax
- Plant Scientific Name	<i>Phormium colinsoi</i>
- Pest (s)	Weeds
Soil Type or Type of Potting Mix: Grower's Mix	a)%Sand: b)%Silt: c)%Clay: d)%OM: e)%pH:
Enter each DATE for:	Seedling: Emergence: Transplanting:
Enter each SPACING for:	Plant or Pot: 6 inches Row: 6 inches
Enter each SIZE for:	Pot: 1-gallon Plot: 50 sq ft
Experimental Design:	Randomized complete block (3 blocks X 3 reps)
Number of Reps:	9 reps total for each treatment

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

APPLICATION PARAMETERS¹

Type of Application: (aerial, ground, foliar, drench, ppi, chemigation, broadcast, directed, etc)	Foliar spray
Number of Applications:	2, 30 days apart
Application Type:	Manual spray bottle
Nozzle Type/Size:	
Nozzle Pressure:	
Delivery Rate:	
Calibration Date(s):	

APPLICATION SUMMARY

APPLICATION DATE	RATES (a.i./A) (Be sure to provide units)	Brief Description of Growth Stage (Dormant, New Growth Present, Bud, etc)
August 5, 2005	0, 2.5, 5, 10 lb. a.i./A	Vegetative, actively growing
September 2, 2005	0, 2.5, 5, 10 lb. a.i./A	Vegetative, actively growing

1 RAINFALL/IRRIGATION RECORDS: INCLUDE RAINFALL/IRRIGATION INFORMATION (printouts, IR-4 forms, etc.)

See Table 1 for environmental conditions. The plants were watered daily with tap water using a drip irrigation system delivering 1 gallon per hour.

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

OTHER PESTICIDES, FERTILIZER, LIME AND ADJUVANTS USED:

PRODUCT	AMOUNT	DATE
Osmocote 19-6-12	0.5 tsp per 6-inch pot	8/12/2005
Pounce	0.16 oz/2 gal	8/26/2005
Marathon II	2.4 oz/2 gal	8/26/2005

NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF METHODS AND RESULTS:

Materials and Methods

Plant Material and Culture. One-gallon plants of *Phormium colinsoi* were received from Suncrest Nursery on July 26, 2005 and held in an outdoor nursery for 10 days. The 8-week experiment took place in an outdoor nursery and began on August 5, 2005. Environmental conditions during the experiment are summarized in Table 1. Osmocote (19-6-12) controlled release fertilizer was added on August 12, 2005 at the rate of 1 teaspoon per 1-gallon pot. The plants were watered daily during the 8-week experiment using a drip irrigation system delivering 1 gallon per hour. Applications of pesticides as part of a normal pest management program were made as needed (see above).

Experimental Procedure. Thirty-six plants were randomly chosen and individually tagged for treatment with 0 (Control), 2.5 (1X), 5 (2X), or 10 (4X) lb. ai/A Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC with 9 replicates per treatment. These dosages were prescribed in IR4 Ornamental Protocol 05-001 dated 1/05 (Appendix A). The plants received the first foliar spray application on August 5, 2005 and the second application 4 weeks later on September 2, 2005. The plants were arranged in a randomized complete block design with 3 blocks and 3 treatment replicates per block (Figure 1). Phytotoxicity ratings and plant height and width measurements were taken at day 0, 3, 7, 14, 28, 31, 35, 42 and 56. Visual phytotoxicity evaluations were based on a numerical rating scale ranging from 0 (no injury) to 10 (complete kill) (Table 2). Plant height (cm) was measured from the container soil surface to the top of the leaf canopy.

Plant width (cm) was measured twice along perpendicular lines at the widest part of the plant, resulting in W_1 and W_2 . For each observation a canopy volume index was calculated so as to be able to determine if canopy volume was affected by the application of Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC. The calculation was made as $H*W_1*W_2$, where H is the height and W_1 and W_2 are two width measurements. The usefulness of this index is based on the fact that many of the models for such a volume calculation are of the form $a*H*W_1*W_2$. The constant "a" depends on the assumption of the shape of the canopy. Since analyses of variance are scale-independent, the conclusion will thus be for the volume of the plant canopy.

Statistical Analysis. The data were analyzed using Proc GLM of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS). The phytotoxicity and change in mean value from the starting plant height, width and volume index were analyzed for significant differences using t-tests.

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

Results

All plants suffered from a case of leaf tip burn already at the time when the plants were received from the propagator. This blemish was present at the outset and persisted throughout the trial. Thus this resulted in an initial phytotoxicity index value observation of at least 1 for all plants. Where additional initial damage was present, a phytotoxicity index value of 2 was registered. Thus in this trial we investigated whether further damage was caused by the herbicide.

Phytotoxicity index values did not change appreciably over the trial period in any of the treatments (Table 3, Figure 2, Appendix B). The only dates on which a significant difference was noted between the treatments was at Week 4, where a treatment effect was noted at the 10% significance level, and at Week 8 at the 5% level; on both dates the greatest phytotoxicity increase was found in the control. Even at that, the greatest mean phytotoxicity index was only 0.8 greater than the average initial phytotoxicity index. Overall, all phytotoxicity index means were 2.0 or lower, indicating that the magnitude of the blemishes were at an acceptable level throughout the trial. In any case, Pennant did not cause any additional foliar damage.

Phormium plants in all treatments grew from 3 to 8 cm in height during the course of the trial; the average width increases ranged from 3.5 to 8.4 cm and a treatment effect was noted (Table 4, Figures 2 and 3, Appendix B). The computation of the volume index, representing an overall size of the plant, also showed a significant treatment effect with the plants treated with Pennant showing nearly three times greater volume than the plants in the control. The pictures of the plants bear out this difference in plant growth attributable to Pennant.

Discussion

Pennant caused no phytotoxicity on *Phormium*. In fact, we found that the plants in the Pennant treatments grew significantly better than the plants in the control. This suggests that Pennant had a beneficial effect on *Phormium*.

GOOD RESEARCH PRACTICE STATEMENT:

I acknowledge that I have read and followed the IR-4 Research protocol and completed this trial following good agricultural practice, or reported any deviations (note any changes from authorized protocol in narrative).

SIGNATURE (PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR) _____

Date Completed:

If submitted, using e-mail, please provide e-mail address and send confirming receipt.

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

Table 1. Environmental conditions during the experiment to evaluate the phytotoxicity of Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC on *Phormium colinsoi*.

Date	Sol Rad (Ly/day)	Max Air Temp (°F)	Min Air Temp (°F)	Avg Air Temp (°F)	Avg Vap (mBars)	Avg wSpd (MPH)	Precip (in)	CIMIS ETo (in)	Avg Rel Hum (%)	Dew Pt (°F)
8/5/2005	658	100.1	58.8	78.2	14.1	3.6	0	0.26	43	53.7
8/6/2005	647	102.6	57	77.7	13.8	4.2	0	0.27	42	53.1
8/7/2005	636	101.3	59.8	78.8	14.2	4	0	0.27	42	53.9
8/8/2005	573	92.2	59.6	73.8	14.9	4.8	0	0.23	52	55.2
8/9/2005	641	99	57.6	75.5	14.8	4.4	0	0.26	49	55.1
8/10/2005	650	94.6	55.8	74.5	14	4.2	0	0.26	48	53.6
8/11/2005	640	97.7	53.2	76	13	3.6	0	0.26	43	51.6
8/12/2005	640	97.6	57.4	75.5	13.8	4.8	0	0.27	46	53.1
8/13/2005	552	81.6	53.7	66.6	13.5	5.7	0	0.2	61	52.6
8/14/2005	613	84.7	54.3	67.1	14.2	5.9	0	0.23	62	53.8
8/15/2005	546	81.1	56.4	69	13.9	6.6	0	0.23	57	53.3
8/16/2005	579	94	62.3	76.1	14.7	4.8	0	0.25	48	54.9
8/17/2005	608	89.8	55.7	70.6	13.7	5.8	0	0.25	54	53
8/18/2005	611	79.8	54.4	65.1	14.1	8.9	0	0.23	67	53.7
8/19/2005	609	83.1	52.7	65.9	13.6	5.9	0	0.22	63	52.8
8/20/2005	608	88.8	51.3	69.7	13.1	3.9	0	0.22	53	51.8
8/21/2005	613	91.9	53.5	71.2	13.5	4.2	0	0.24	52	52.6
8/22/2005	609	95.3	50.1	73.3	13.6	4	0	0.24	48	52.7
8/23/2005	609	95.1	54.7	72.3	13	5.6	0	0.25	48	51.6
8/24/2005	607	89.2	52.9	70.7	12.4	6.9	0	0.26	48	50.2
8/25/2005	601	90.2	53.1	70.8	10.1	4.5	0	0.24	39	44.8
8/26/2005	605	95.2	52.2	73.3	9.6	4.4	0	0.25	34	43.5
8/27/2005	585	97.8	54.5	76.4	11.2	4	0	0.25	36	47.5
8/28/2005	601	98.4	56.8	77	11.2	4.6	0	0.26	35	47.6
8/29/2005	588	90.6	55.5	72.8	11.4	4.2	0	0.23	41	47.9
8/30/2005	587	92.9	65.4	78.3	7.6	11.9	0	0.36	--	--
8/31/2005	580	98.2	59.2	77.1	8.7	4.9	0	0.26	27	40.9
9/1/2005	568	91.7	52.8	71.2	12.4	4.6	0	0.23	47	50.2
9/2/2005	574	87.5	51.9	67.6	12.9	4.8	0	0.21	56	51.4
9/3/2005	568	87.5	50.8	67.2	12.8	5.7	0	0.22	56	51.1
9/4/2005	552	82.5	52	66.2	12.8	5	0	0.19	58	51
9/5/2005	554	87.4	50.2	68.8	11.8	3.5	0	0.2	49	49
9/6/2005	551	86.4	51.4	66.7	11.9	4.9	0	0.21	53	49.2
9/7/2005	544	84.2	48.4	64.6	12.3	4.8	0	0.19	59	50
9/8/2005	536	77.5	50.3	64	12.4	7	0	0.2	61	50.3
9/9/2005	524	73.7	53.5	62.1	12.9	9.6	0	0.19	68	51.2
9/10/2005	481	76.4	49.9	62	11.7	5.6	0	0.17	62	48.8
9/11/2005	531	76.2	45.3	61.4	11.1	4.1	0	0.17	60	47.3
9/12/2005	520	78.2	44	61.3	10.7	2.3	0	0.16	58	46.3
9/13/2005	521	78.5	42.8	60.6	10.7	4	0	0.17	59	46.4
9/14/2005	505	76.3	46.7	60.6	11.9	4.5	0	0.16	66	49.1
9/15/2005	506	81.1	46.3	62.6	11.8	3.5	0	0.16	61	48.9
9/16/2005	491	79.9	52.2	63.6	12.2	6.9	0	0.18	61	49.7
9/17/2005	486	78.1	48.7	63.4	11.9	4.4	0	0.17	60	49.1
9/18/2005	491	83.8	46.2	65.7	9.5	3.7	0	0.18	44	43.3
9/19/2005	495	89.7	46.9	68.1	9.3	3.5	0	0.19	39	42.5
9/20/2005	458	89.6	51.4	70.3	10.5	6.2	0	0.2	42	45.8
9/21/2005	464	86.5	54.6	67.8	12.4	4.3	0	0.17	54	50.3
9/22/2005	492	87.1	48.2	66.1	10.8	4	0	0.18	49	46.5
9/23/2005	480	80.1	53.1	65.7	9.8	9.1	0	0.23	45	44.1
9/24/2005	479	78.5	56.2	66.6	5.9	10.1	0	0.26	27	31.3
9/25/2005	460	82.3	52.3	66.2	6.5	5.9	0	0.2	29	33.4
9/26/2005	400	86.6	55.3	70	9.8	4.7	0	0.18	39	43.9
9/27/2005	441	84.8	52.1	68.5	11.7	2.7	0	0.16	49	48.7
9/28/2005	463	94.1	58.6	74.6	9.1	6.4	0	0.23	31	42
9/29/2005	451	92.4	49.6	70.8	10	2.9	0	0.17	39	44.5
9/30/2005	438	92.2	52.8	71	10.5	3.4	0	0.17	40	45.8

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

Table 2. Numerical plant damage rating scale used for phytotoxicity determinations.

Rating	Description of plant damage
0	No damage
1	No visible damage but unintended (non-permanent) impact
2	Slight leaf/tissue damage (curling leaves, necrosis, etc.)
3	Marginal chlorosis on some leaves (damage on up to 10% of plant)
4	10% – 20% of plant damaged
5	Significant damage to much of plant (30% - 40%)
6	40% – 60% of plant damaged
7	Chlorosis or necrosis on most of plant (60% - 70%)
8	Abscised leaves, branch dieback
9	Tissue severely damaged (80% - 100% of plant)
10	Complete kill

Table 3. Phytotoxicity ratings over 8 weeks for *Phormium colinsoi* treated with 0 (Control), 2.5 (1X), 5 (2X), or 10 (4X) lb. ai/A Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC, applied at weeks 0 and 4. Different letters within a column indicate significant differences between treatments (P < 0.05). “Yes”/”No” refer to significant treatment effects at the 5% level. Means ± SE (n = 9)

Phytotoxicity Effect of Pennant on Phormium								
Phytotoxicity Index Increase from beginning of trial until:								
Treatment	Day 3	no	1 week	no	2 weeks	no	4 weeks	yes at 10%
0X	0.11 ± 0.11	a	0.22 ± 0.15	a	0.44 ± 0.24	a	0.33 ± 0.17	a
1X	0.00 ± 0.00	a	0.00 ± 0.00	a	0.11 ± 0.11	a	0.11 ± 0.20	a
2X	0.00 ± 0.00	a	0.00 ± 0.00	a	-0.11 ± 0.20	a	-0.11 ± 0.20	ab
4X	0.00 ± 0.17	a	0.11 ± 0.26	a	-0.11 ± 0.26	a	-0.56 ± 0.18	b
Phytotoxicity Index increase from beginning of trial until:								
Treatment			5 week	no	6 weeks	no	8 weeks	yes
0X			0.33 ± 0.17	a	0.22 ± 0.15	a	0.78 ± 0.15	a
1X			-0.11 ± 0.20	ab	-0.33 ± 0.17	b	0.67 ± 0.17	ab
2X			-0.11 ± 0.26	ab	-0.33 ± 0.17	b	0.22 ± 0.28	bc
4X			-0.56 ± 0.18	b	-0.33 ± 0.17	b	0.11 ± 0.20	c

Table 4. Plant height, width and volume changes over 8 weeks for *Phormium colinsoi* treated with 0 (Control), 2.5 (1X), 5 (2X), or 10 (4X) lb. ai/A Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC, applied at weeks 0 and 4. Different letters within a column indicate significant differences between treatments (P < 0.05). “Yes”/”No” refer to significant treatment effects at the 5% level. Means ± SE (n = 9)

Growth Effect of Pennant on Phormium						
Increase by week 8 of:						
Treatment	Height (cm)	no	Average Width (cm)	yes	Volume Index	yes
0X	3.00 ± 1.59	b	3.50 ± 0.41	b	12250.8 ± 2162.40	b
1X	5.17 ± 1.73	ab	7.64 ± 2.33	a	35199.3 ± 9914.54	a
2X	8.11 ± 1.14	a	7.33 ± 1.20	a	35521.3 ± 3961.81	a
4X	4.22 ± 1.59	ab	8.39 ± 0.85	a	33704.1 ± 6230.75	a

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM



Figure 1. *Phormium colinsoi* plants were arranged in a randomized complete block design with 3 blocks and 3 treatment replicates per block for the experiment to evaluate the phytotoxicity of Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC.

PR.NO.	24758
:	
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

Species: *Phormium* -- Material: Pennant




Figure 2. Summary of results for *Phormium colinsoi* treated with 0 (Control), 2.5 (1X), 5 (2X), or 10 (4X) lb. ai/A Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC, applied at weeks 0 and 4 (arrows). Both means and cumulative changes over time are plotted for phytotoxicity index, plant height, plant width and plant volume index. Histograms show changes over the 8-week trial period. SE bars shown. (n = 9)

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM



Block A



Block B



Block C

CONTROL

1X

2X

4X

Figure 3. *Phormium colinsoi* plants 8 weeks after treatment with 0 (Control), 2.5 (1X), 5 (2X), or 10 (4X) lb. ai/A Pennant Magnum 7.62 EC, applied at weeks 0 and 4.

PR.NO. :	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

Appendix A

Phytotoxicity to herbaceous perennial plants with pre-emergent applications of Pendulum, Pennant Magnum, and Snapshot

Ornamental Protocol Number: 05-001

Objective: Determine phytotoxicity of Pendulum, Pennant Magnum, and Snapshot to unlabelled perennial plants commonly grown in nurseries.

Experimental Design:

Plot Size: Must be adequate to reflect actual use conditions.

Replicates: Minimum of 3 replications (preferably 4) with 3 pots per replicate

Application Instructions: Two applications made approximately 4 weeks apart with the first application within 7 days of potting. Plant materials must have broken dormancy prior to first application. For liquid applications, use a minimum of 20 gal per acre. Applications should be made over the top of the plants using application equipment consistent with conventional commercial equipment. Please see table below for instructions for post-application irrigation.

Plant Materials: See attached list of plant materials. Plants grown in field containers are preferred to in-ground.

Evaluations: Record phytotoxicity on a scale of 0 to 10 (0 = No phytotoxicity; 10 = Complete kill) at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days after each application. If phytotoxicity is observed in treated plants, take pictures comparing treated and untreated plant material.

Recordkeeping: Keep detailed records of weather conditions including temperature and precipitation, soil-type or soil-less media, application equipment, application volume per acre, irrigation, liner size, plant height & width, and plant growth stage at application and data collection dates.

Treatments:

Product	Rate	Post-Application Irrigation Instructions
Pendulum 2G (pendimethalin)	2.0 lb ai/A	Follow with sufficient overhead irrigation to wash Pendulum from the foliage to reduce the chance of injury
	4.0 lb ai/A	
	8.0 lb ai/A	
Pennant MAGNUM 7.62EC (s-metalochlor)	2.5 lb ai/A	Follow with sufficient overhead irrigation to wash Pennant Magnum from the foliage to reduce the chance of injury
	5.0 lb ai/A	
	10.0 lb ai/A	
Snapshot 2.5TG (isoxaben+trifluralin)	2.5 lb ai/A	Follow with sufficient overhead irrigation to wash Snapshot from the foliage to reduce the chance of injury
	5.0 lb ai/A	
	10.0 lb ai/A	
Untreated	--	--

For labels, materials, and any required adjuvants contact:

Pendulum - BASF, Kathie Kalmowitz, 919-785-9659, email: kalmowk@basf-corp.com

Pennant Magnum - Syngenta, Dave Ross, 336-632-6411, david.ross@syngenta.com

Snapshot - Dow AgroSciences, Mike Melichar, 317-337-4982, mwmelichar@dow.com

Reports:

Report must include a brief summary paragraph of results, a summary table with appropriate statistical analyses, a section on experimental design and materials and methods, with raw data and recordkeeping information as listed above included as appendices. If pictures were taken, please include them.

An electronic report is preferred but not required. If the report is provided electronically, the basic report can be sent in MS Word or WordPerfect, the recordkeeping information as pdf or other electronic documents, and the raw data in MS Excel or other suitable program such as ARM.

Please direct questions to: Cristi Palmer, IR-4 HQ, Rutgers University, 681 US Hwy 1 S, North Brunswick, NJ 08902-3390, Phone 732-932-9575 x629, palmer@aesop.rutgers.edu OR Ely Vea, 308 Aston Forest Lane, Crownsville, MD 21032, Phone & FAX#: 410-923-488, E-mail: evvea@comcast.net.

PR.NO.:	24758
TRIAL:	
DATE:	9/30/05

IR-4 ORNAMENTAL DATA REPORTING FORM

Appendix B

Phytotoxicity Report Form for Pennant on Phormium																	
Treatment	Block	Rep	Phytotoxicity at week									Plant Size at week 0			Plant Size at week 8		
			day			day			Height (cm)	Width1 (cm)	Width 2 (cm)	Height (cm)	Width1 (cm)	Width 2 (cm)			
			0	3	1	2	4	3							5	6	8
Control	A	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	34	37	43	35	44	45	
Control	A	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	27	31	27.5	23.5	34	35	
Control	A	3	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	30	39	33	30	40	37	
Control	B	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	27.5	33	28	35	35	30	
Control	B	2	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	30	34	38	29	37	44	
Control	B	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	30	44	34	30	44	41	
Control	C	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	25	35	30	34.5	35.5	34	
Control	C	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	30	40	34	39	43	40	
Control	C	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	29.5	39	39	34	43	40	
Mean			1.2	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	2.0	29.2	36.9	34.1	32.2	39.5	38.4	
1X	A	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	31	44	44.5	42	50	38	
1X	A	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	34	36	42	41	41	49	
1X	A	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	34.5	38	34	45	50	52	
1X	B	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	34	45	39	31	40	49	
1X	B	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	39	55	55	41	49	61	
1X	B	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	32	37	30	31	40	37	
1X	C	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	30	35	34	38	58	50	
1X	C	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	37.5	44	51	40	55	54	
1X	C	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	37.5	38	38	47	61	43	
Mean			1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.0	2.0	34.4	41.3	40.8	39.6	49.3	48.1	
2X	A	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	32	34	36	44	48	41	
2X	A	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	30	37	33	40	42	37	
2X	A	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	29	36	34	38	43	44	
2X	B	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	31	50	42	45	55	44	
2X	B	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	29	40	35	34.5	39	40	
2X	B	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	33	43	41	40	54	43	
2X	C	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	31	44	34	38	47	47	
2X	C	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	30	44	35	35	54	52	
2X	C	3	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	30.5	35	30	34	46	39	
Mean			1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.6	30.6	40.3	35.6	38.7	47.6	43.0	
4X	A	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	37	48	43	39	50	53	
4X	A	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	33	43	30	36	52	44	
4X	A	3	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	26	31	32	29	39	36	
4X	B	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	36	44	36	41	52	42	
4X	B	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	30.5	33	31	35	47	40	
4X	B	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	30	49	39	37	49	50	
4X	C	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	38	48	44	47.5	55	60	
4X	C	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	32	33	26	42	43	34	
4X	C	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	37	37	32	31	40	44	
Mean			1.6	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.7	33.3	40.7	34.8	37.5	47.4	44.8	